

GEOMETRY OF BRILL-NOETHER LOCI ON PRYM VARIETIES

ANDREAS HÖRING

ABSTRACT. Given the Prym variety of an étale double cover one can define analogues of the classical Brill-Noether loci on Jacobians of curves. Recent work by Lahoz and Naranjo shows that the Brill-Noether locus V^2 completely determines the covering. In this paper we describe the singular locus and the irreducible components of V^2 .

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a smooth curve X it is well-known that the Brill-Noether loci $W_d^r X$ contain a lot of interesting information about the curve X and its polarised Jacobian (JX, Θ_X) . Given a smooth curve C and an étale double cover $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$, one can define analogously Brill-Noether loci V^r for the Prym variety (P, Θ) (cf. Section 2). Several fundamental results on these loci are known for quite a while: the expected dimension is $g(C) - 1 - \binom{r+1}{2}$, they are non-empty if the expected dimension is non-negative [Ber87, Thm.1.4] and connected if the expected dimension is positive [Deb00, Ex.6.2]. If C is general in the moduli space of curves, all the Brill-Noether loci are smooth and have the expected dimension [Wel85, Thm.1.11]. While the Brill-Noether locus $V^1 \subset P^+$ is the canonically defined theta-divisor and has received the attention of many authors, the study of the higher Brill-Noether loci and the information they contain about the étale cover $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ is a very recent subject: Casalaina-Martin, Lahoz and Viviani [CMLV08] have shown that V^2 is set-theoretically the theta-dual (cf. Definition 2.1) of the Abel-Prym curve. In their recent preprint Lahoz and Naranjo [LN10] refine this statement and prove a Torelli theorem: the Brill-Noether locus V^2 determines the covering $\tilde{C} \rightarrow C$. This justifies a more detailed study of the geometry of V^2 . Our first result is the

1.1. Theorem. *Let C be a smooth curve of genus $g(C) \geq 6$, and let $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ be an étale double cover such that the Prym variety (P, Θ) is an irreducible principally polarised abelian variety¹.*

- a) Suppose that C is hyperelliptic. Then V^2 is irreducible of dimension $g(C) - 3$.*
- b) Suppose that C is not hyperelliptic. Then V^2 is a reduced Cohen-Macaulay scheme of dimension $g(C) - 4$. If the singular locus V_{sing}^2 has an irreducible component of dimension at least $g(C) - 5$, then C is a plane quintic, trigonal or bielliptic.*

Date: March 5, 2011.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14H40, 14H51, 14K12.

Key words and phrases. Prym variety, Brill-Noether theory, bielliptic curves.

Partially supported by the A.N.R. project "CLASS".

¹The condition on the irreducibility is always satisfied unless C is hyperelliptic, but $\tilde{C}$ is not. In this case (P, Θ) is isomorphic to a product of Jacobians [Mum74].

While in the hyperelliptic case (cf. Proposition 4.2) the statement is a straightforward extension of [CMLV08], the non-hyperelliptic case is based on the following observation: if the singular locus of V^2 is large, the singularities are exceptional in the sense of [Bea82b]. This provides a link with certain Brill-Noether loci on JC .

An immediate consequence of the theorem is that V^2 is irreducible unless C is a plane quintic, trigonal or bielliptic (Corollary 3.6). The case of trigonal curves is very simple: (P, Θ) is isomorphic to a Jacobian JX and V^2 splits into two copies of $W_{g(C)-4}^0 X$. For a plane quintic V^2 is reducible if and only if (P, Θ) is isomorphic to the intermediate Jacobian of a cubic threefold; in this case V^2 splits into two copies of the Fano surface F . Note that the Fano surface F and the Brill-Noether loci $W_d^0 X$ are conjectured to be the only subvarieties of principally polarised abelian varieties having the minimal cohomology class $[\frac{\Theta^k}{k!}]$ [Deb95]. By [DCP95] the cohomology class of V^2 is $[2\frac{\Theta^{g(C)-4}}{(g(C)-4)!}]$, a reducible V^2 provides thus an important test for this conjecture. Our second result is the

1.2. Theorem. *Let C be a smooth non-hyperelliptic curve of genus $g(C) \geq 6$, and let $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ be an étale double cover. Denote by (P, Θ) the polarised Prym variety. The Brill-Noether locus V^2 is reducible if and only if one of the following holds:*

- a) C is trigonal;
- b) C is a plane quintic and (P, Θ) an intermediate Jacobian of a cubic threefold;
- c) C is bielliptic and the covering $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ belongs to the family $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}_{g(C)}, g(C_1)}$ with $g(C_1) \geq 2$ (cf. Remark 5.11). Then V^2 has two or three irreducible components, but none of them has minimal cohomology class.

It is known [Sho82] that if C is bielliptic of genus $g(C) \geq 8$, the Prym variety is not a Jacobian of a curve. Moreover we know by [Deb88] that these Prym varieties form $\lfloor \frac{g(C)-1}{2} \rfloor$ distinct subvarieties of $\mathcal{A}_{g(C)-1}$. For exactly one of these families the general member has the property that the cohomology class of *any* subvariety is a multiple of the minimal class $\frac{\Theta^k}{k!}$. The proof of Theorem 1.2 shows that the Brill-Noether locus V^2 is irreducible if and only if the Prym variety belongs to this family! This is the first evidence for Debarre's conjecture that is not derived from low-dimensional cases or considerations on Jacobians and intermediate Jacobians [Ran80, Deb95, Hör10].

Acknowledgements. The work of O. Debarre, M. Lahoz and J.-C. Naranjo plays an important rôle in this paper. I want to thank them for patiently answering my numerous questions.

2. NOTATION

While most of our arguments are valid for an arbitrary algebraically closed field of characteristic $\neq 2$, we will work over $\mathbb{C}$: this is necessary to apply [ACGH85] and [Deb00] which are crucial for Theorem 1.1 and its consequences. For standard definitions in algebraic geometry we refer to [Har77], for Brill-Noether theory to [ACGH85].

Given a smooth curve C we denote by $\text{Pic } C$ its Picard scheme and by

$$\text{Pic } C = \bigcup_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Pic}^d C$$

the decomposition in its irreducible components. We will identify the Jacobian JC and the degree 0 component $\text{Pic}^0 C$ of the Picard scheme. In order to simplify the notation we denote by $L \in \text{Pic } C$ the point corresponding to a given line bundle L on C . Somewhat abusively we will say that a line bundle is effective if it has a global section.

If $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a finite cover between smooth curves and D a divisor on X , we denote by $\text{Nm } \varphi(D)$ its norm. In the same way $\text{Nm } \varphi : \text{Pic } X \rightarrow \text{Pic } Y$ denotes the norm map. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a coherent sheaf on X (in general $\mathcal{F}$ will be the locally free sheaf corresponding to some divisor), we denote by $\varphi_* \mathcal{F}$ the push-forward as a sheaf.

Let C be a smooth curve of genus $g(C)$ and $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ an étale double cover. We have $(\text{Nm } \pi)^{-1}(K_C) = P^+ \cup P^-$, where $P^- \simeq P^+ \simeq P$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} P^- &:= \{L \in (\text{Nm } \pi)^{-1}(K_C) \mid \dim |L| \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\}, \\ P^+ &:= \{L \in (\text{Nm } \pi)^{-1}(K_C) \mid \dim |L| \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $r \geq 0$ we denote by

$$W_{2g(C)-2}^r \tilde{C} := \{L \in \text{Pic}^{2g(C)-2} \tilde{C} \mid \dim |L| \geq r\}.$$

The Brill-Noether loci of the Prym variety [Wel85] are defined as the scheme-theoretical intersections

$$V^r := W_{2g(C)-2}^r \tilde{C} \cap P^- \quad \text{if } r \text{ is even}$$

and

$$V^r := W_{2g(C)-2}^r \tilde{C} \cap P^+ \quad \text{if } r \text{ is odd}.$$

The notion of theta-dual was introduced by Pareschi and Popa in their work on Fourier-Mukai transforms (cf. [PP08b] for a survey).

2.1. Definition. Let (A, Θ) be a principally polarised abelian variety, and let $X \subset A$ be any closed subset. The theta-dual $T(X)$ of X is the closed subset defined by

$$T(X) := \{\alpha \in A \mid h^0(A, \mathcal{I}_X(\Theta) \otimes \alpha) \neq 0\}.$$

Note that $T(X)$ has a natural scheme structure [PP08b], set-theoretically it is defined by $X - T(X) \subset \Theta$.

3. THE SINGULAR LOCUS OF V^2

In the whole section we denote by C a smooth non-hyperelliptic curve of genus $g(C)$ and by $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ an étale double cover. The following lemma will be used many times:

3.1. Lemma. Let $L \in V^r$ be a line bundle such that $\dim |L| = r$. If the Zariski tangent space $T_L V^r$ satisfies

$$\dim T_L V^r > g(C) - 2r,$$

there exists a line bundle M on C such that $\dim |M| \geq 1$ and an effective line bundle F on $\tilde{C}$ such that

$$L \simeq \pi^* M \otimes F.$$

3.2. Remark. For $r = 1$ the scheme $V^1 = W_{2g(C)-2}^1 \tilde{C} \cap P^+$ identifies to the canonical polarisation Θ . The theta-divisor has dimension $g(C) - 2$, so the condition

$$\dim T_L V^1 > g(C) - 2,$$

is equivalent to V^1 being singular in L . Thus for $r = 1$ we obtain the well-known statement that if a point $L \in \Theta$ with $\dim |L| = 1$ is in Θ_{sing} , the singularity is exceptional in the sense of Beauville [Bea82b].

Proof. We consider the Prym-Petri map introduced by Welters [Wel85, 1.8]

$$\beta : \wedge^2 H^0(\tilde{C}, L) \rightarrow H^0(\tilde{C}, K_{\tilde{C}})^-,$$

where $H^0(\tilde{C}, K_{\tilde{C}})^-$ identifies to the tangent space of the Prym variety, in particular it has dimension $g(C) - 1$. By [Wel85, Prop. 1.9] the Zariski tangent space of V^r at the point L equals the orthogonal of the image of β . Thus if $\dim T_L V^r > g(C) - 2r$, then $\text{rk } \beta < 2r - 1$. Since $\wedge^2 H^0(\tilde{C}, L)$ has dimension $\frac{r(r+1)}{2}$, this is equivalent to

$$(*) \quad \dim \ker \beta > \frac{r(r+1)}{2} - 2r - 1.$$

The locus of decomposable 2-forms in $\wedge^2 H^0(\tilde{C}, L)$ is the affine cone over the Plücker embedding of $G(2, H^0(\tilde{C}, L))$ in $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 H^0(\tilde{C}, L))$, so it has dimension $2r - 1$. Thus by $(*)$ there is a non-zero decomposable vector $s_i \wedge s_j$ in $\ker \beta$. This means that $s_i \sigma^* s_j - s_j \sigma^* s_i = 0$, thus $\frac{s_j}{s_i}$ defines a rational function h on C . We conclude by taking $M = \mathcal{O}_C((h)_0)$ and F the maximal common divisor between $(s_i)_0$ and $(s_j)_0$. By construction F is effective and $\dim |M| \geq 1$. $\square$

By [CMLV08, Thm.2.2], [IP01, Lemma 2.1] every irreducible component of the Brill-Noether locus V^2 has dimension at most $g(C) - 4$ if C is not hyperelliptic. The following estimate is a generalisation of their statement to arbitrary r .

3.3. Lemma. *We have*

$$\dim V^r \leq g(C) - 2 - r \quad \forall r \geq 2.$$

Proof. Denote by $|K_C| \subset C^{(2g(C)-2)}$ the set of effective canonical divisors and by $\text{Nm } \pi : \tilde{C}^{(2g(C)-2)} \rightarrow C^{(2g(C)-2)}$ the norm map. Since the canonical linear system $|K_C|$ defines an embedding we know by [Bea82b, §2, Cor.] that $\text{Nm } \pi^{-1}(|K_C|)$ has exactly two irreducible components Λ_0 and Λ_1 , both are normal varieties of dimension $g(C) - 1$. Let

$$i : \tilde{C}^{(2g(C)-2)} \rightarrow \text{Pic}^{2g(C)-2} \tilde{C}, \quad D \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(D)$$

be the Abel-Jacobi map, then (up to renumbering)

$$\varphi(\Lambda_0) = P^- \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(\Lambda_1) = \Theta \subset P^+.$$

Recall that for all $L \in \text{Pic } \tilde{C}$ we have a set-theoretic equality $i^{-1}(L) = |L|$. In particular we see that

$$(*) \quad \dim i^{-1}(V^r) \geq \dim V^r + r$$

for every $r \geq 0$.

Suppose now that r is even (the odd case is analogous and left to the reader). For a general point $L \in P^-$ one has $\dim |L| = 0$. Thus for $r \geq 2$ one has

$$i^{-1}(V^r) \subsetneq \Lambda_1,$$

hence $i^{-1}(V^r)$ has dimension at most $g(C) - 2$. Conclude by (*). $\square$

3.4. Remark. In the preceding proof the hypothesis C not hyperelliptic was only used to show that Λ_0 and Λ_1 are irreducible. Since the inequality (*) is valid without this property we obtain that

$$\dim V^r \leq g(C) - 1 - r \quad \forall r \geq 2.$$

We will see in Section 4.A that this estimate is optimal.

We can now use Marten's theorem to give an estimate of the dimension of the singular locus V_{sing}^2 .

3.5. Proposition. *Suppose that $g(C) \geq 6$ and V_{sing}^2 has an irreducible component S of dimension at least $g(C) - 5$. Then there exists a $d \in \{3, 4\}$ such that*

$$\dim W_d^1 C = d - 3$$

and $W \subset W_d^1 C$ an irreducible component of maximal dimension such that for every $M \in W$ one has

$$\dim |K_C \otimes M^{\otimes -2}| = g - d - 2.$$

For every L in S one has

$$L \simeq \pi^* M \otimes F$$

for some $M \in W$ and some effective line bundle F on $\tilde{C}$. In particular S has dimension equal to $g(C) - 5$.

Proof. Let $L \in S$ be a generic point, then by Lemma 3.3 we have $\dim |L| = 2$. Since V^2 is singular in L we have

$$\dim T_L V^2 > g(C) - 4.$$

Thus by Lemma 3.1 there exists a line bundle $M \in W_d^1 C$ for some $d \leq g(C) - 1$ and an effective line bundle F on $\tilde{C}$ such that

$$L \simeq \pi^* M \otimes F.$$

The family of such pairs (M, F) is a finite cover of the set of pairs (M, B) where $M \in W_d^1 C$ for some $d \leq g(C) - 1$ and B is an effective divisor of degree $2g(C) - 2 - 2d \geq 0$ on C such that $B \in |K_C \otimes M^{\otimes -2}|$.

By hypothesis the parameter space T of the pairs (M, B) has dimension at least $g(C) - 5$. Note that if $\deg M = g(C) - 1$ then $K_C \otimes M^{\otimes -2} \simeq \mathcal{O}_C$. Thus M is a theta-characteristic and the space of pairs (M, B) is finite, a contradiction to $g(C) - 5 > 0$. Since C is not hyperelliptic we get $3 \leq \deg M < g(C) - 1$. Moreover one has by Clifford's theorem

$$(*) \quad \dim |H^0(C, K_C \otimes M^{\otimes -2})| \leq g(C) - 1 - d - 1.$$

Thus the variety W parametrising the line bundles M has dimension at least $d - 3$. By construction $W \subset W_d^1 C$ and by Marten's theorem [ACGH85, IV, Thm.5.1]

$$(**) \quad \dim W \leq \dim W_d^1 C \leq d - 3.$$

Thus T and S have dimension at most $g(C) - 5$. Since by hypothesis S has dimension at least $g(C) - 5$, we see that $(*)$ and $(**)$ are equalities, at least for $M \in W$ generic. By upper semicontinuity and Clifford's theorem we get equality for every $M \in W$.

The last remaining point is to show that this situation can only occur for $d \in \{3, 4\}$: by what precedes we have a finite map

$$W \rightarrow W_{2g(C)-2-2d}^{g-d-2} C, \quad M \mapsto K_C \otimes M^{\otimes -2}.$$

If $2g(C) - 2 - 2d \leq g(C) - 1$ we know by Marten's theorem that $\dim W_{2g(C)-2-2d}^{g(C)-d-2} C \leq 1$. Since $\dim W = d - 3$ we get $d \leq 4$.

If $2g(C) - 2 - 2d \geq g(C)$ we use the isomorphism

$$W_{2g(C)-2-2d}^{g(C)-d-2} C \rightarrow W_{2d}^{d-1} C, \quad K_C \otimes M^{\otimes -2} \mapsto M^{\otimes 2}$$

and Marten's theorem to see that $\dim W_{2g(C)-2-2d}^{g(C)-d-2} C \leq 1$, so we get again $d \leq 4$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The hyperelliptic case is settled in Proposition 4.2, so suppose C is not hyperelliptic.

By [Deb00, Ex.6.2.1]) the Brill-Noether-locus V^2 is a determinantal variety. Since for C not hyperelliptic it has the expected dimension, it is Cohen-Macaulay. Since $\dim V_{\text{sing}}^2 \leq g(C) - 5$ by Proposition 3.5 all the irreducible components of V^2 are generically reduced. A generically reduced Cohen-Macaulay scheme is reduced.

If $\dim V_{\text{sing}}^2 \geq g(C) - 5$ then $\dim W_d^1 C = d - 3$ for $d = 3$ or 4 by Proposition 3.5. Thus the second statement follows from Mumford's refinement of Marten's theorem [ACGH85, IV., Thm.5.2]. $\square$

Remark. Based on completely different methods Lahoz and Naranjo [LN10] have shown that V^2 is reduced and Cohen-Macaulay.

3.6. Corollary. *Let C be a smooth non-hyperelliptic curve of genus $g(C) \geq 6$, and let $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ be an étale double cover. If V^2 is reducible, then C is a plane quintic, trigonal or bielliptic.*

Proof. By a theorem of Debarre [Deb00, Ex.6.2.1]) the locus V^2 is $g(C) - 5$ -connected, i.e. if V^2 is not irreducible, there exist two irreducible components $Z_1, Z_2 \subset V^2$ such that $Z_1 \cap Z_2$ has dimension at least $g(C) - 5$ in one point (cf. ibid, p.287). Thus if V^2 is reducible, its singular locus has dimension at least $g(C) - 5$. Conclude with Theorem 1.1. $\square$

4. EXAMPLES

4.A. Hyperelliptic curves. Let C be a smooth hyperelliptic curve of genus $g(C)$ and $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ an étale double cover such that the Prym variety (P, Θ) is an irreducible principally polarised abelian variety, i.e. $\tilde{C}$ is also a hyperelliptic curve. Let $\sigma : \tilde{C} \rightarrow \tilde{C}$ be the involution induced by π .

Recall [BL04, Ch.12] that in this case the Abel-Prym map

$$\alpha : \tilde{C} \rightarrow P, p \mapsto \sigma(p) - p$$

is two-to-one onto its image C' which is a smooth curve and the Prym variety (P, Θ) is isomorphic to $(J(C'), \Theta_{C'})$.

In [CMLV08, Lemma 2.1] the authors show that for C not hyperelliptic, V^2 is a translate of the theta-dual of the Abel-Prym embedded curve $\tilde{C} \subset P$. In fact their argument works also for C hyperelliptic if one replaces $\tilde{C} \subset P$ by $\alpha(\tilde{C}) = C' \subset P$. Thus we have:

4.1. Lemma. *The Brill-Noether locus V^2 is a translate of the theta-dual $T(C')$.*

Since the Prym variety (P, Θ) is isomorphic to $(J(C'), \Theta_{C'})$, the theta-dual of C' is a translate of $W_{g(C)-3}^0 C'$. In particular V^2 is irreducible of dimension $g(C) - 3$.

4.2. Proposition. *Let C be a smooth hyperelliptic curve of genus $g(C) \geq 6$, and let $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ be an étale double cover such that the Prym variety (P, Θ) is an irreducible principally polarised abelian variety. Then V^2 is irreducible of dimension $g(C) - 3$, set-theoretically it is a translate $W_{g(C)-3}^0 C'$.*

If $L \in V^2$ is any point, then

$$L \simeq \pi^* H \otimes F$$

where H the unique g_2^1 on C and F is an effective line bundle on $\tilde{C}$.

Proof. By Remark 3.4 we have a proper inclusion $V^4 \subsetneq V^2$, so a general $L \in V^2$ satisfies $\dim |L| = 1$. By Lemma 3.1 there exists a line bundle $M \in W_d^1 C$ for some $d \leq g(C) - 1$ and an effective line bundle F on $\tilde{C}$ such that

$$L \simeq \pi^* M \otimes F.$$

We can now argue as in the proof of Proposition 3.5 to obtain the statement, the only thing we have to observe is that the inequality

$$(*) \quad \dim |H^0(C, K_C \otimes M^{\otimes -2})| \leq g(C) - 1 - d - 1.$$

is also valid on a hyperelliptic curve unless M is a multiple of the g_2^1 . $\square$

4.B. Plane quintics. Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a smooth plane quintic and $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ an étale double cover. We denote by H the restriction of the hyperplane divisor to C , and by $\eta \in \text{Pic}^0 C$ the two-torsion line bundle inducing π . Let $\sigma : \tilde{C} \rightarrow \tilde{C}$ be the involution induced by π .

4.3. Example. Suppose that $h^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(H) \otimes \eta)$ is odd, i.e. the Prym variety is isomorphic to the intermediate Jacobian of a cubic threefold [CG72]. The Fano variety F parametrising lines on the threefold is a smooth surface that has a natural embedding in the intermediate Jacobian. The surface $F \subset P$ has minimal cohomology class $[\frac{\Theta^3}{3!}]$ (ibid). Moreover it follows from [Hör07] and [PP08a] that the theta-dual satisfies $T(F) = F$. It is well-known that $\tilde{C} \subset F$ (up to translation), so

$$F = T(F) \subset V^2 = T(\tilde{C}).$$

Since the condition $\dim |L| \geq 2$ is invariant under isomorphism, the Brill-Noether locus V^2 is stable under the map $x \mapsto -x$. Thus $F \subset V^2$ implies that $-F \subset V^2$.

Since the cohomology class of V^2 is $[2\frac{\Theta^3}{3!}]$ we see that up to translation V^2 is a union of F and $-F$. In particular V^2 is reducible and its singular locus is the intersection of the two irreducible components. Since V^2 is Cohen-Macaulay, the singular locus has pure dimension one.

We will now prove the converse of the example:

4.4. Proposition. *The Brill-Noether locus V^2 is reducible if and only if $h^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(H) \otimes \eta)$ is odd, i.e. if and only if the Prym variety is isomorphic to the intermediate Jacobian of a cubic threefold.*

In this case the singular locus V_{sing}^2 is a translate of $\tilde{C}$.

Proof. Suppose that V_{sing}^2 has a component S of dimension one. Since C is not trigonal we know by Proposition 3.5 that S corresponds to a one-dimensional component $W \subset W_4^1 C$ such that for every $[M] \in W$

$$|K_C \otimes M^{\otimes -2}| \neq \emptyset.$$

By adjunction $K_C \simeq \mathcal{O}_C(2H)$ and by [Bea82a, §2, (iii)] we have $M \simeq \mathcal{O}_C(H - p)$ where $p \in C$ is a point. Thus $K_C \otimes M^{\otimes -2} \simeq \mathcal{O}_C(2p)$ and a general point $L \in S$ is of the form

$$L \simeq \pi^* \mathcal{O}_C(H - p) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(q_1 + q_2)$$

where q_1, q_2 are points in $\tilde{C}$. Since $\text{Nm } \pi L \simeq \mathcal{O}_C(2H)$ and C is not hyperelliptic we obtain that $q_i \in \pi^{-1}(p)$. Thus we can write

$$L \simeq \pi^* H \quad \text{or} \quad L \simeq \pi^* \mathcal{O}_C(H) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(q - \sigma(q)) \text{ for some } q \in \tilde{C}.$$

Since L varies in a one-dimensional family we can exclude the first case. By Mumford's description of Prym varieties whose theta-divisor has a singular locus of dimension $g(C) - 5$, we know (cf. [Mum74, p.347, l. -4]) that $h^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(H) \otimes \eta)$ is even if and only if $h^0(\tilde{C}, \pi^* \mathcal{O}_C(H) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(q - \sigma(q)))$ is even. Since $V^2 \subset P^-$ this shows the statement.

The description of the general points $L \in S$ shows that V_{sing}^2 has a unique one-dimensional component and that it is the translate by $\pi^* \mathcal{O}_C(H)$ of the Abel-Prym embedded $\tilde{C} \subset P$. $\square$

4.C. Trigonal curves. Let C be a trigonal curve of genus $g(C) \geq 6$. Let $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ an étale double cover, and (P, Θ) the corresponding Prym variety. By Recillas' theorem [Rec74] the Prym variety is isomorphic as a principally polarised abelian variety to the polarised Jacobian (JX, Θ_X) of a tetragonal curve X of genus $g(C) - 1$. By Recillas's construction [BL04, Ch.12.7] we also know how to recover the double cover $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ from the curve X : let $s : X^{(2)} \times X^{(2)} \rightarrow X^{(4)}$ be the sum map, then

$$\tilde{C} \simeq p_1(s^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^1)),$$

where $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset X^{(4)}$ is the linear system giving the tetragonal structure and p_1 the projection on the first factor. In particular we see that

$$\tilde{C} \subset X^{(2)} \simeq W_2^0 X.$$

Thus (up to choosing an isomorphism $(P, \Theta) \simeq (JX, \Theta_X)$ and appropriate translates) one has

$$T(W_2^0 X) \subset T(\tilde{C}) \simeq V^2.$$

By [PP08a, Ex.4.5] the theta-dual of $W_2^0 X$ is $-W_{g(C)-4}^0 X$. As in the case of the intermediate Jacobian 4.3 we see that up to translation

$$V^2 = -W_{g(C)-4}^0 X \cup W_{g(C)-4}^0 X,$$

and the singular locus of V^2 is the union of $\pm(W_{g(C)-4}^0 X)_{\text{sing}}$, which has dimension at most $g(C) - 6$ and the intersection of the two irreducible components, which has dimension $g(C) - 5$.

5. PRYM VARIETIES OF BIELLIPTIC CURVES I

5.A. Special subvarieties. We recall some well-known facts about special subvarieties which we will use in the next section.

Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a double cover (which may be étale or ramified) of smooth curves. We suppose that $g(Y)$ is at least one, and denote by $\text{Nm } \varphi : \text{Pic } X \rightarrow \text{Pic } Y$ the norm morphism. Let M be a globally generated line bundle of degree $d \geq 2$ on Y . Denote by $\mathbb{P}^r \subset Y^{(d)}$ where $r := \dim |M|$ the set of effective divisors in the linear system $|M|$. If $\text{Nm } \varphi : X^{(d)} \rightarrow Y^{(d)}$ is the norm map, then $\Lambda := \text{Nm } \varphi^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^r)$ is a reduced Cohen-Macaulay scheme of pure dimension r and the map $\Lambda \rightarrow |M|$ is étale of degree 2^d over the locus of smooth divisors in $|M|$ which do not meet the branch locus of φ .

If φ is étale, Λ has exactly two connected components Λ_0 and Λ_1 [Wel81]. If φ is ramified, the scheme Λ is connected [Nar92, Prop.14.1]. Let

$$i_Y : Y^{(d)} \rightarrow JY, D \mapsto \mathcal{O}_Y(D)$$

and

$$i_X : X^{(d)} \rightarrow JX, D \mapsto \mathcal{O}_X(D)$$

be the Abel-Jacobi maps, then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Lambda & \hookrightarrow & X^{(d)} & \xrightarrow{i_X} & \text{Pic}^d X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Nm } \varphi & & \downarrow \text{Nm } \varphi \\ \mathbb{P}^r & \hookrightarrow & Y^{(d)} & \xrightarrow{i_Y} & \text{Pic}^d Y \end{array}$$

The fibre of $i_X(X^{(d)}) \rightarrow i_Y(Y^{(d)})$ over the point M (and thus the intersection of $i_X(X^{(d)})$ with $\text{Nm } \varphi^{-1}(M)$) is equal (at least set-theoretically) to $i_X(\Lambda)$.

Fix now a connected component $S \subset \Lambda$. Then we call $V := i_X(S)$ a *special subvariety*² associated to M . Obviously one has

$$(1) \quad \dim V = r - \dim |\mathcal{O}_X(D)|$$

where $D \in S$ is a general point.

²In general it is not true that S is irreducible, in particular the special subvariety may not be a variety. Note also that in general it should be clear which covering we consider, otherwise we say that V is a φ -special subvariety associated to M .

The following technical definition will be very important in the next section:

5.1. Definition. Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a double cover of smooth curves. An effective divisor $D \subset X$ is not simple if there exists a point $y \in Y$ such that $\varphi^*y \subset D$. It is simple if this is not the case.

Note that if an effective divisor $D \subset X$ is not simple, then $\text{Nm } \varphi(D)$ is not reduced. Hence if Y is an elliptic curve and M a line bundle of degree $d \geq 2$ on Y , then a general divisor $D \in X^{(d)}$ such that $\text{Nm } \varphi(D) \in |M|$ is simple: the linear system $|M|$ is base-point free, so a general element is reduced.

5.2. Lemma. Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a ramified double cover of smooth curves such that Y is an elliptic curve. Denote by δ_φ the line bundle of degree $g(X) - 1$ defining the cyclic cover φ . Let $M \not\simeq \delta_\varphi$ be a line bundle of degree $2 \leq d \leq g(X) - 1$ on Y .

a) Then Λ is smooth and irreducible.

b) A general divisor $D \in \Lambda$ is simple and satisfies $\dim |\mathcal{O}_X(D)| = 0$.

In particular there exists a unique special subvariety associated to M , it is irreducible of dimension $d - 1$.

Proof. We start by showing the statement b): by what precedes D is simple, so by [Mum71, p.338] we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \varphi_* \mathcal{O}_X(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(\text{Nm } \varphi(D)) \otimes \delta_\varphi^* \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\deg D \leq \deg \delta_\varphi$ and $\mathcal{O}_Y(\text{Nm } \varphi(D)) \simeq M \not\simeq \delta_\varphi$ we have $h^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(\text{Nm } \varphi(D)) \otimes \delta_\varphi^*) = 0$. Hence we have $1 = h^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = h^0(Y, \varphi_* \mathcal{O}_X(D))$.

For the proof of a) note first that since Λ is connected, it is sufficient to show the smoothness. Let $D \in \Lambda$ be any divisor then we have a unique decomposition

$$D = \varphi^* A + R + B,$$

where A is an effective divisor on Y , the divisor R is effective with support contained in the ramification locus of φ and B is effective, simple and has support disjoint from the ramification locus of φ . Since Y is an elliptic curve, we have

$$h^0(Y, M \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-A - \text{Nm } \varphi(R))) = h^0(Y, M) - \deg(A + \text{Nm } \varphi(R))$$

unless $\deg M = \deg(A + \varphi_* R)$ and $M \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-A - \text{Nm } \varphi(R))$ is not trivial. Since $\deg M = \deg D$ this last case could only happen when $A = 0$ and $B = 0$, so we have $D = R$. Yet by construction $M \simeq \mathcal{O}_Y(\text{Nm } \varphi(D)) = \mathcal{O}_Y(\text{Nm } \varphi(R))$, so $M \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-A - \text{Nm } \varphi(R))$ is trivial. By [Nar92, Prop.14.3] this shows the smoothness of Λ , the statement on the dimension follows by b) and Equation (1). $\square$

5.B. The irreducible components of V^2 . In this section C will be a smooth curve of genus $g(C) \geq 6$ that is bielliptic, i.e. we have a double cover $p : C \rightarrow E$ onto an elliptic curve E . As usual $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ will be an étale double cover. In this section we suppose that the covering $p \circ \pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow E$ is Galois. In this case one sees easily that the Galois group is $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Using the Galois action on $\tilde{C}$ we get a commutative diagram³

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \tilde{C} & & \\
 & \swarrow \pi & \downarrow \pi_1 & \searrow \pi_2 & \\
 C & & C_1 & & C_2 \\
 & \searrow p & \downarrow p_1 & \swarrow p_2 & \\
 & & E & &
 \end{array}$$

It is straightforward to see that

$$g(C_1) + g(C_2) = g(C) + 1,$$

and we will assume without loss of generality that $1 \leq g(C_1) \leq g(C_2) \leq g(C)$. We denote by Δ the branch locus of p and by δ the line bundle inducing the cyclic cover p . Then we have $2\delta \simeq \Delta$, moreover by the Hurwitz formula $\deg K_C = \deg \Delta$, hence

$$\deg \delta = g(C) - 1.$$

Analogously the cyclic covers p_1 and p_2 are given by line bundles δ_1 und δ_2 such that $\deg \delta_1 = g(C_1) - 1$ and $\deg \delta_2 = g(C_2) - 1$.

For any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define closed subsets $Z_a \subset \text{Pic } C_1 \times \text{Pic } C_2$ by

$$\left\{ (L_1, L_2) \mid L_1 \in W_{g(C_1)-1+a}^0 C_1, L_2 \in W_{g(C_2)-1-a}^0 C_2, \text{Nm } p_1(L_1) \otimes \text{Nm } p_2(L_2) \simeq \delta \right\}.$$

We note that the sets Z_a are empty unless $1 - g(C_1) \leq a \leq g(C_2) - 1$. Pulling back to $\tilde{C}$ we obtain natural maps

$$(\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*) : Z_a \rightarrow \text{Pic } \tilde{C}, (L_1, L_2) \mapsto \pi_1^* L_1 \otimes \pi_2^* L_2$$

and by [Deb88, p.230] the image $(\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)(Z_a)$ is in P^- if and only if a is odd. Moreover we can argue as in [Deb88, Prop.5.2.1] to see that

$$(2) \quad V^2 \subset (\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)\left(\bigcup_{a \text{ odd}} Z_a\right).$$

5.3. Lemma. *For a odd the sets Z_a are empty or one has*

$$(3) \quad \dim Z_a = g(C) - 1 - a.$$

Moreover Z_a is irreducible unless $g(C_1) = 1$ and $a \geq g(C_2) - 2$.

Proof. 1st case. $g(C_1) > 1$. We prove the statement for positive a , for a negative the argument is analogous. The projection on the second factor gives a surjective map $Z_a \rightarrow W_{g(C_2)-1-a}^0 C_2$, the fibres of this map being parametrized by effective line bundles L_1 with fixed norm. Since $a \geq 1$ the line bundles L_1 are of degree at least $g(C_1)$, so they are automatically effective. Thus the fibres identify to fibres of the norm map $\text{Nm } p_1 : \text{Pic } C_1 \rightarrow \text{Pic } E$. Since the double covering p_1 is ramified, the $\text{Nm } p_1$ -fibres are irreducible of dimension $g(C_1) - 1$, so Z_a is irreducible of the expected dimension.

2nd case. $g(C_1) = 1$. The sets Z_a are empty for a negative, so suppose a positive. Arguing as in the first case we obtain the statement on the dimension. In order to

³Our presentation follows [Deb88, Ch.5] to which we refer for details.

see that Z_a is irreducible for $a \leq g(C_2) - 3$ we consider the surjective map induced by the projection on the first factor $Z_a \rightarrow \text{Pic}^{g(C_1)-1+a} C_1$. The fibre over a line bundle L_1 is the union of the p_2 -special subvarieties associated to $\delta \otimes \text{Nm } p_1 L_1^*$. Since $2 \leq \deg \delta \otimes \text{Nm } p_1(L_1^*) \leq g(C_2) - 2$ we know by Lemma 5.2 that the unique special subvariety is irreducible, so the fibres are irreducible. $\square$

Since all the irreducible components of V^2 have dimension $g(C) - 4$ it follows from (2) and (3) that

$$(4) \quad V^2 \subset (\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*) \left(\bigcup_{a \text{ odd}, |a| \leq 3} Z_a \right).$$

If $(L_1, L_2) \in Z_{\pm 3}$ then by Riemann-Roch $\dim |L_1| \geq 2$ (resp. $\dim |L_2| \geq 2$), so we have

$$(\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)(Z_{\pm 3}) \subset V^2.$$

For the sets $Z_{\pm 1}$ this can't be true, since Equation (3) shows that they have dimension $g(C) - 2$. We introduce the following smaller loci:

$$W_1 := \left\{ (L_1, L_2) \in Z_1 \mid L_1 \in W_{g(C_1)}^1 C_1 \right\},$$

and

$$W_{-1} := \left\{ (L_1, L_2) \in Z_{-1} \mid L_2 \in W_{g(C_2)}^1 C_2 \right\}.$$

We note that if $g(C_1) = 1$, then $W_1 = \emptyset$: there is no g_1^1 on a non-rational curve. Since $\dim W_{g(C_1)}^1 C_1 = g(C_1) - 2$ (resp. $\dim W_{g(C_2)}^1 C_2 = g(C_2) - 2$) one deduces easily from the proof of Lemma 5.2 that the sets $W_{\pm 1}$ are empty or irreducible of dimension $g(C) - 4$.

By the same lemma we see that for fixed L_1 (resp. L_2) and general L_2 (resp. L_1) such that $(L_1, L_2) \in W_1$ (resp. $(L_1, L_2) \in W_{-1}$), the linear system $|L_1|$ (resp. $|L_2|$) contains a unique effective divisor and this divisor is simple.

Note that if $(L_1, L_2) \in W_{\pm 1}$, then $\dim |(\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)(L_1, L_2)| \geq 1$. Since these sets map into the component P^- we obtain

$$(\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)(W_{\pm 1}) \subset V^2.$$

5.4. Proposition. *We have*

$$V^2 = (\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)(Z_{-3} \cup W_{-1} \cup W_1 \cup Z_3).$$

The proof needs some technical preparation:

5.5. Definition. *Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a double cover of smooth curves. Let L be a line bundle on X such that $\dim |L| \geq 1$. The line bundle L is simple if every divisor in $D \in |L|$ is simple in the sense of Definition 5.1.*

5.6. Lemma. [Deb88, Cor.5.2.8] *In our situation let $L_1 \in \text{Pic } C_1$ and $L_2 \in \text{Pic } C_2$ be effective line bundles such that $L \simeq \pi_1^* L_1 \otimes \pi_2^* L_2$. If L_1 is p_1 -simple, then*

$$h^0(\tilde{C}, L) \leq 2h^0(C_2, L_2) + g(C_2) - 1 - \deg L_2.$$

Analogously if L_2 is p_2 -simple, then

$$h^0(\tilde{C}, L) \leq 2h^0(C_1, L_1) + g(C_1) - 1 - \deg L_1.$$

Proof of Proposition 5.4. Let $L \in V^2$ be an arbitrary line bundle. By the inclusion (4) we are left to show that if $L \in (\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)(Z_{\pm 1})$ and $L \notin (\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)(Z_{-3} \cup Z_3)$, then $L \in (\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)(W_{\pm 1})$. We will suppose that $L \in (\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)(Z_1)$, the other case is analogous and left to the reader. Since $L \in (\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)(Z_1)$, we can write

$$L \simeq \pi_1^* L_1 \otimes \pi_2^* L_2$$

with L_1 effective of degree $g(C_1)$ and L_2 effective of degree $g(C_1) - 2$. If L_2 is not simple, then L is in $(\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)(Z_3)$ which we excluded. Hence L_2 is simple, so by Lemma 5.6 we obtain

$$3 \leq h^0(\tilde{C}, L) \leq 2h^0(C_1, L_1) + g(C_1) - 1 - g(C_1).$$

Thus one has $\dim |L_1| \geq 1$ and $L \in (\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)(W_1)$. $\square$

5.7. Corollary. *If $g(C_1) = 1$, then*

$$V^2 = (\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)(Z_3).$$

In particular V^2 is irreducible.

Proof. Since the sets Z_{-3} , W_{-1} and W_1 are empty for $g(C_1) = 1$, the first statement is immediate from Proposition 5.4. Since $g(C_1) = 1$ implies that $g(C_2) = g(C)$ and $g(C) \geq 6$ by hypothesis we know by Lemma 5.3 that Z_3 is irreducible. $\square$

We will now focus on the case $g(C_1) \geq 2$. Proposition 5.4 reduces the study of V^2 to understanding the sets $W_{\pm 1}$, $Z_{\pm 3}$ and their images in P^- . We start with an observation:

5.8. Lemma. *For $g(C_1) \geq 2$ we have*

$$(\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)(W_1) = (\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)(W_{-1}).$$

Proof. We claim that the following holds: let $L_1 \in W_{g(C_1)}^1 C_1$ be a general point. Then L_1 is not simple, and there exists a point $x \in E$ such that

$$L_1 \simeq p_1^* \mathcal{O}_E(x) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_1}(D_1)$$

with D_1 an effective divisor such that $\mathcal{O}_E(\text{Nm } p_1(D_1) + x) \simeq \delta_1$. Assume this for the time being, let us show how to conclude: let $L \in (\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)(W_1)$ be a general point. Then we have $L \simeq \pi_1^* L_1 \otimes \pi_2^* L_2$ with $L_1 \in W_{g(C_1)}^1 C_1$ a general point and L_2 a p_2 -simple line bundle. Thus by the claim we can write

$$L \simeq \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_{C_1}(D_1) \otimes \pi_2^* (L_2 \otimes p_2^* \mathcal{O}_E(x)).$$

Since $\mathcal{O}_E(\text{Nm } p_1(D_1) + x) \simeq \delta_1$ and $\delta \simeq \delta_1 \otimes \delta_2$ a short computation shows that $\text{Nm } p_2(L_2) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(x) \simeq \delta_2$. Moreover L_2 is p_2 -simple, so by [Deb88, Prop.5.2.7] we obtain that $\dim |L_2 \otimes p_2^* \mathcal{O}_E(x)| \geq 1$. Thus L is in $(\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)(W_{-1})$. This shows one inclusion, the proof of the other inclusion is analogous.

Proof of the claim. Set

$$S := \{(x, D_1) \in E \times C_1^{(g(C_1)-2)} \mid x + \text{Nm } p_1(D_1) \in |\delta_1|\}^4.$$

⁴For $g(C_1) = 2$, the symmetric product $C_1^{(g(C_1)-2)}$ is a point: it corresponds to the zero divisor on C_1 .

Note that the projection $p_2 : S \rightarrow C_1^{(g(C_1)-2)}$ on the second factor is an isomorphism, so S is not uniruled. For $(x, D_1) \in S$ general the divisor D_1 is p_1 -simple by Lemma 5.2, so by [Mum71, p.338] we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E(x) \rightarrow (p_1)_* \mathcal{O}_{C_1}(p_1^*x + D_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E(x + \text{Nm } p_1(D_1)) \otimes \delta_1^* \rightarrow 0.$$

By construction we have $\mathcal{O}_E(x + \text{Nm } p_1(D_1)) \otimes \delta_1^* \simeq \mathcal{O}_E$. Thus $H^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E(x)) = 0$ implies that $h^0(C_1, \mathcal{O}_{C_1}(p_1^*x + D_1)) = 2$. Hence the image of

$$\tau : S \rightarrow \text{Pic } C_1, (x, D_1) \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{C_1}(p_1^*x + D_1)$$

is contained in $W_{g(C_1)}^1 C_1$. Since S is not uniruled the general fibre of $S \rightarrow \tau(S)$ has dimension zero. By Riemann-Roch the residual map $W_{g(C_1)}^1 C_1 \rightarrow W_{g(C_1)-2}^0 C_1$ is an isomorphism, so $W_{g(C_1)}^1 C_1$ is irreducible of dimension $g(C_1) - 2$. Thus τ is surjective. $\square$

Suppose that $g(C_1) \geq 2$. Let (JC_1, Θ_{C_1}) and (JC_2, Θ_{C_2}) be the Jacobians of the curves C_1 and C_2 with their natural principal polarisations. Since p_1 and p_2 are ramified, the pull-backs $\pi_1^* : JE \rightarrow JC_1$ and $\pi_2^* : JE \rightarrow JC_2$ are injective and the restricted polarisations $B_1 := \Theta_{C_1}|_{JE}$ and $B_2 := \Theta_{C_2}|_{JE}$ are of type (2) [Mum71, Ch.3]. We define

$$P_1 := \ker(\text{Nm } p_1 : JC_1 \rightarrow JE), \quad P_2 := \ker(\text{Nm } p_2 : JC_2 \rightarrow JE).$$

We set $A_1 := \Theta_{C_1}|_{P_1}$ and $A_2 := \Theta_{C_2}|_{P_2}$, then the polarisations A_1 and A_2 are of type $(1, \dots, 1, 2)$ [BL04, Cor.12.1.5].

If $p_j^* \times i_{P_j} : JE \times P_j \rightarrow JC_j$ denotes the natural isogeny, then $(p_j^* \times i_{P_j})^* \Theta_{C_j} \equiv B_j \boxtimes A_j$. Thus if $\alpha_j : JC_j \rightarrow JE \times \widehat{P_j}$ is the dual map, one has [BL04, Prop.14.4.4]

$$(5) \quad \Theta_{C_j}^{\otimes 2} \equiv \alpha_j^*(\widehat{B_j} \boxtimes \widehat{A_j}),$$

where $\widehat{B_j}$ and $\widehat{A_j}$ are the dual polarisations. We note that $\widehat{A_j}$ has type $(1, 2, \dots, 2)$.

By [Deb88, Prop.5.5.1] the pull-back maps P_1 and P_2 into the Prym variety P and we obtain an isogeny $(\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)|_{P_1 \times P_2} : P_1 \times P_2 \rightarrow P$ such that

$$(\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)|_{P_1 \times P_2}^* \Theta \equiv A_1 \boxtimes A_2.$$

In particular if $g : P \rightarrow \widehat{P_1 \times P_2}$ denotes the dual map, one has

$$(6) \quad \Theta^{\otimes 2} \equiv g^*(\widehat{A_1} \boxtimes \widehat{A_2}).$$

5.9. Proposition. *If $g(C_1) \geq 3$, the cohomology classes of $(\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)(Z_{-3})$, $(\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)(W_1)$, $(\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)(Z_3)$ are not minimal. Moreover their cohomology classes are distinct, so they are distinct irreducible components of V^2 .*

If $g(C_1) = 2$ the same holds for $(\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)(W_1)$ and $(\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)(Z_3)$.

Proof. In order to simplify the notation we denote the pull-back of the polarisations $\widehat{A_1}$ and $\widehat{A_2}$ to $\widehat{P_1 \times P_2}$ by the same letter.

We start by observing that is sufficient to show that $[(\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)(Z_{-3})]$ (resp. $[(\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)(Z_3)]$) is a non-negative multiple of $g^* \widehat{A_1}^3$ ($g^* \widehat{A_2}^3$). Indeed once we have shown this property we can use that

$$[(\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)(Z_{-3})] + [(\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)(Z_3)] + [(\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)(W_1)] = [V^2] = \frac{\Theta^3}{3!}$$

and Formula (6) to compute that

$$[(\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)(W_1)] = \frac{1}{3!2^3} \left[(1 - a_1)g^* \widehat{A}_1^3 + 3g^* \widehat{A}_1^2 \widehat{A}_2 + 3g^* \widehat{A}_1 \widehat{A}_2^2 + (1 - a_2)g^* \widehat{A}_2^3 \right],$$

where $a_1, a_2 \geq 0$ correspond to the cohomology class of $Z_{\pm 3}$. It is clear that none of these classes is (a multiple of) a minimal cohomology class. If $g(C_1) \geq 4$ all the classes are non-zero and distinct, so the images of $Z_{\pm 3}$ and W_1 are distinct irreducible components of V^2 . If $2 \leq g(C_1) \leq 3$ the set Z_{-3} is empty (and the corresponding class zero), so we obtain only two irreducible components.

Computation of the cohomology class of $(\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)(Z_{\pm 3})$. We will prove the claim for Z_3 , the proof for Z_{-3} is analogous. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} P & \xleftarrow{i_P} & J\widetilde{C} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \widehat{J\widetilde{C}} & \xrightarrow{\widehat{i_P}} & \widehat{P} \simeq P \\ & & \uparrow (\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*) & & \downarrow (\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*) & & \downarrow g \\ & & JC_1 \times JC_2 & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \widehat{JC_1 \times JC_2} & \xrightarrow{q} & \widehat{P_1 \times P_2} \end{array}$$

so if $X \subset JC_1 \times JC_2$ is a subvariety such that $(\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)(X) \subset P$, its cohomology class is determined (up to a multiple) by the class of $q(X)$ in $\widehat{P_1 \times P_2}$.

We choose a translate of Z_3 that is in $JC_1 \times JC_2$ and denote it by the same letter. We want to understand the geometry of $q(Z_3)$. Since the norm maps $\text{Nm } p_j$ are dual to the pull-backs p_j^* [Mum71, Ch.1], the map q fits into an exact sequence of abelian varieties

$$(7) \quad 0 \rightarrow JE \times JE \xrightarrow{p_1^* \times p_2^*} JC_1 \times JC_2 \xrightarrow{q} \widehat{P_1 \times P_2} \rightarrow 0$$

Recall from the proof of Lemma 5.3 that Z_3 is a fibre space over $W_{g(C_1)-4}^0$ such that for given $L_2 \in W_{g(C_2)-4}^0$, the fibre identifies to the fibre of $\text{Nm } p_1 : \text{Pic}^{g(C_1)+2} C_1 \rightarrow \text{Pic}^{g(C_1)+2} E$ over $\delta \otimes \text{Nm } p_2(L_2^*)$. Thus Z_3 identifies to a fibre product

$$\text{Pic}^{g(C_1)+2} C_1 \times_{JE} W_{g(C_2)-4}^0.$$

Together with the exact sequence (7) this shows that

$$q(Z_3) = \widehat{P_1} \times q_2(W_{g(C_2)-4}^0),$$

where $q_2 : JC_2 \rightarrow P_2$ is the restriction of q to JC_2 .

Thus we are left to compute the cohomology class of $q_2(W_{g(C_2)-4}^0)$: note first that q_2 is the composition of the isogeny $\alpha_2 : JC_2 \rightarrow JE \times \widehat{P_2}$ with the projection on $\widehat{P_2}$. Since the polarization $\widehat{B}_2$ is numerically equivalent to a multiple of $e \times \widehat{P_2} \subset JE \times \widehat{P_2}$ and the cohomology class of $W_{g(C_2)-4}^0$ is $\frac{\Theta_{C_2}^4}{4!}$, one deduces from Equation (5) that the cohomology class of $q_2(W_{g(C_2)-4}^0)$ is a multiple of $\widehat{A}_2^3$. $\square$

5.10. Remark. With some more effort one can show the following statement: If $g(C_1) \geq 2$, then the following equalities in $H^6(P, \mathbb{Z})$ hold:

$$(8) \quad [(\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)(Z_{-3})] = \frac{1}{4!} g^* p_{\widehat{P}_1}^* \widehat{A}_1^3,$$

$$(9) \quad [(\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)(Z_3)] = \frac{1}{4!} g^* p_{\widehat{P}_2}^* \widehat{A}_2^3,$$

$$(10) \quad [(\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*)(W_1)] = \frac{1}{8} g^* (p_{\widehat{P}_1}^* \widehat{A}_1^2 p_{\widehat{P}_2}^* \widehat{A}_2 + p_{\widehat{P}_1}^* \widehat{A}_1 p_{\widehat{P}_2}^* \widehat{A}_2^2),$$

The polarisation $\widehat{A}_j$ being of type $(1, 2, \dots, 2)$ it follows from [BL04, Thm.4.10.4] that $\frac{1}{4!} \widehat{A}_j^3$ is a “minimal” cohomology class for $(P_j, \widehat{A}_j)$, i.e. it is in $H^6(P_j, \mathbb{Z})$ and not divisible.

5.11. Remark. Let $\mathcal{R}_{g(C)}$ be the moduli space of pairs (C, π) where C is a smooth projective curves of genus $g(C)$ and $\pi : \widetilde{C} \rightarrow C$ an étale double cover. We denote by

$$\text{Pr} : \mathcal{R}_{g(C)} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g(C)-1}$$

the Prym map associating to (C, π) the principally polarised Prym variety (P, Θ) .

Let $\mathcal{B}_{g(C)}$ be the moduli space of bielliptic curves of genus $g(C) \geq 6$, and let $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}_{g(C)}} \subset \mathcal{R}_{g(C)}$ be the moduli space of étale double covers over them. Let $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}_{g(C)}, g(C_1)}$ be those étale double covers such that $\widetilde{C} \rightarrow C \rightarrow E$ has Galois group $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and the curve C_1 has genus $g(C_1)$.

By [Deb88, Thm.4.1.i)] the closure of $\text{Pr}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}_{g(C)}, 1})$ in $\mathcal{A}_{g(C)-1}$ contains the locus of Jacobians of hyperelliptic curves of genus $g(C) - 1$. A general hyperelliptic Jacobian has the property that the cohomology class of every subvariety is an integral multiple of the minimal class [Bis97]. Hence the same property holds for a general element in $\text{Pr}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}_{g(C)}, 1})$. Thus if V^2 was reducible, the irreducible components would have minimal cohomology class.

6. PRYM VARIETIES OF BIELLIPTIC CURVES II

6.A. Tetragonal construction and V^2 . We denote by C an irreducible nodal curve of arithmetic genus $p_a(C) \geq 6$, and by $\pi : \widetilde{C} \rightarrow C$ a Beauville admissible cover. By [Bea77] the corresponding Prym variety (P, Θ) is a principally polarised abelian variety. We will suppose that C is a tetragonal curve, i.e. there exists a finite morphism $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ of degree four, but not hyperelliptic, trigonal or a plane quintic. We set $H := f^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$. By Donagi’s tetragonal construction [Don81], [BL04, Ch.12.8] the corresponding special subvarieties give Beauville admissible covers $\widetilde{C}' \rightarrow C'$ and $\widetilde{C}'' \rightarrow C''$ such that C' and C'' are tetragonal and the Prym varieties are isomorphic to (P, Θ) .

Consider now the residual line bundle $K_C \otimes H^*$: by Riemann-Roch the linear series $|K_C \otimes H^*|$ is a $g_{2p_a(C)-6}^{p_a(C)-4}$ to which we can apply the construction of special subvarieties (cf. Section 5.A). If $S \subset \Lambda$ is a connected component, then by [Bea82b, Thm.1, Rque.4] the cohomology class of $V := i_{\widetilde{C}}(S)$ is $[2 \frac{\Theta^3}{3!}]$. Denote by (P^+, Θ^+) the canonically polarised Prym variety, i.e.

$$\Theta^+ = \{L \in (\text{Nm } \pi)^{-1}(K_C) \mid |L| \neq \emptyset, \dim |L| \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\}.$$

Up to exchanging $\tilde{C}'$ and $\tilde{C}''$ we can suppose that the image of the natural map

$$V \times \tilde{C}' \rightarrow J\tilde{C}$$

is contained in P^+ . By construction the image is then contained in Θ^+ , hence a translate of $-V$ is contained in the theta-dual $T(\tilde{C}')$. Since $T(\tilde{C}')$ equals the Brill-Noether locus $(V^2)'$ of the covering $\tilde{C}' \rightarrow C'$, it has cohomology class $[2\frac{\Theta^3}{3!}]$ and the inclusion is a (set-theoretical) equality. Thus the special subvariety V is isomorphic to the Brill-Noether locus $(V_2)'$ of a tetragonally-related covering.

Suppose now that the base locus of the linear system $|K_C \otimes H^*|$ does not contain any points of C_{sing} . Since C is not a plane quintic, this implies that $|K_C \otimes H^*|$ is base-point free. By [Bea82b, §2, Cor.], applied to the pull-back of the linear system to the normalised curve, we know that S is irreducible if the linear system $|K_C \otimes H^*|$ induces a map $\varphi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{p_a(C)-4}$ that is birational onto its image $f(C)$. Suppose now that this is not the case: then there exists for every generic point $p \in C$ another generic point $q \in C$ such that

$$h^0(C, K_C \otimes H^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-p-q)) = h^0(C, K_C \otimes H^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-p)).$$

By Riemann-Roch this implies that the linear system $|H \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(p+q)|$ is a base-point free g_6^2 . Since C is not hyperelliptic, we obtain in this way a one-dimensional subset $W \subset W_6^2 C$. Let C' be the image of the morphism $\varphi_{|H \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(p+q)|} : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$. Since C is irreducible and not trigonal, the curve C' is an irreducible cubic or sextic curve. Let $\nu : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ be the normalisation, then we distinguish two cases:

Case 1: $\tilde{C}$ is hyperelliptic. Denote by $h : \tilde{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ the hyperelliptic covering. Since H and $H \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(p+q)$ are base-point free, it is easy to see that $|\nu^*(H \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(p+q))|$ is a g_6^3 . Thus we have $\nu^*(H \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(p+q)) \simeq h^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(3)$ and a factorisation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & \xrightarrow{\nu} & C \\ \downarrow h & & \downarrow \varphi_{|H \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(p+q)|} \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{\bar{\nu}} & C' \end{array}$$

In particular $\varphi_{|H \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(p+q)|}$ is not birational and C' is a singular cubic. Moreover if $x_1, x_2 \in \tilde{C}$ such that $\nu(x_1) = \nu(x_2)$ then $h(x_1) = h(x_2)$, unless C' is nodal and $h(x_1)$ and $h(x_2)$ are mapped onto the unique node.

Case 2: $\tilde{C}$ is not hyperelliptic. In this case the pull-backs $\nu^*(H \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(p+q))$ define a one-dimensional subset $\tilde{W} \subset W_6^2 \tilde{C}$. It follows by [ACGH85, p.198] that $\tilde{C}$ is bielliptic, and if $h : \tilde{C} \rightarrow E$ is a two-to-one map onto an elliptic curve E , then $\nu^*(H \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(p+q)) \simeq h^* L$ where $L \in \text{Pic}^3 E$. As in the first case we have a factorisation $\bar{\nu} : E \rightarrow C'$ which is easily seen to be an isomorphism. In particular C is obtained from $\tilde{C}$ by identifying points that are in a h -fibre.

6.B. The irreducible components of V^2 . Let C' be a smooth curve of genus $g(C) \geq 6$ that is bielliptic, i.e. we have a double cover $p' : C' \rightarrow E$ onto an elliptic curve E . As usual $\pi' : \tilde{C}' \rightarrow C'$ will be an étale double cover, and we will suppose that the morphism $p' \circ \pi' : \tilde{C}' \rightarrow E$ is not Galois (in the terminology of [Deb88, Nar92] the covering belongs to the family $\mathcal{R}'_{B_{g(C)}} \subset \mathcal{R}_{g(C)}$, cf. Remark 5.11).

If we apply the tetragonal construction to a general g_4^1 on C , we obtain a Beauville admissible cover $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ such that the normalisation $\nu : T \rightarrow C$ is a smooth hyperelliptic curve T of genus $g(C) - 2$. Denote by $h : T \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ the hyperelliptic structure. Then ν identifies two pairs of points x_1, x_2 and y_1, y_2 such that $h(x_1), h(x_2), h(y_1), h(y_2)$ are four distinct points in $\mathbb{P}^1$ (this follows from the ‘figure locale’ in [Deb88, 7.2.4]).

By [Nar92, Ch.15] a tetragonal structure on C can be constructed as follows: there exists a unique double cover $j : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ sending each pair $h(x_1), h(x_2)$ and $h(y_1), h(y_2)$ onto a single point. The four-to-one covering $j \circ h : T \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ factors through the normalisation ν , so we have a four-to-one cover $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. If we apply the tetragonal construction to $H := f^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$, we recover the original étale double cover $\pi' : \tilde{C}' \rightarrow C'$. By Section 6.A we know that the Brill-Noether locus V^2 associated to π' is isomorphic to a special subvariety associated to $|K_C \otimes H^*|$. By considering the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \nu_*(K_T \otimes \nu^* H^*) \rightarrow K_C \otimes H^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\nu(x_1)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{\nu(y_1)} \rightarrow 0$$

one sees easily that the linear system $|K_C \otimes H^*|$ is base-point free (but does not separate the singular points $\nu(x_1)$ and $\nu(y_1)$). Since the points $h(x_1), h(x_2), h(y_1), h(y_2)$ are distinct, it follows by the Case 1 in Section 6.A that the special subvarieties are irreducible. The following proposition summarises these considerations.

6.1. Proposition. *Let C' be a smooth curve of genus $g(C') \geq 6$ that is bielliptic, i.e. we have a double cover $p' : C' \rightarrow E$ onto an elliptic curve E . Let $\pi' : \tilde{C}' \rightarrow C'$ be an étale double cover such that the cover $\tilde{C}' \rightarrow E$ is not Galois. Then V^2 is irreducible.*

7. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

If V^2 is reducible, we know by Corollary 3.6 that C is trigonal, a plane quintic or bielliptic. The first two cases are settled in the Sections 4.B and 4.C. If C is bielliptic we distinguish two cases: the four-to-one cover $\tilde{C} \rightarrow C \rightarrow E$ is Galois or not. In the Galois case we conclude by Corollary 5.7 and Proposition 5.9. In the last case we use Proposition 6.1. q.e.d.

REFERENCES

- [ACGH85] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P. A. Griffiths, and J. Harris. *Geometry of algebraic curves. Vol. I*, volume 267 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [Bea77] Arnaud Beauville. Prym varieties and the Schottky problem. *Invent. Math.*, 41(2):149–196, 1977.
- [Bea82a] Arnaud Beauville. Les singularités du diviseur Θ de la jacobienne intermédiaire de l’hypersurface cubique dans $\mathbf{P}^4$. In *Algebraic threefolds (Varenna, 1981)*, volume 947 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 190–208. Springer, Berlin, 1982.
- [Bea82b] Arnaud Beauville. Sous-variétés spéciales des variétés de Prym. *Compositio Math.*, 45(3):357–383, 1982.
- [Ber87] Aaron Bertram. An existence theorem for Prym special divisors. *Invent. Math.*, 90(3):669–671, 1987.
- [Bis97] Indranil Biswas. Hodge cycles on moduli spaces of parabolic bundles over the general hyperelliptic curve. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 6(4):717–720, 1997.

- [BL04] Christina Birkenhake and Herbert Lange. *Complex abelian varieties*, volume 302 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2004.
- [CG72] C. Herbert Clemens and Phillip A. Griffiths. The intermediate Jacobian of the cubic threefold. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 95:281–356, 1972.
- [CMLV08] S. Casalaina Martin, M. Lahoz, and F. Viviani. Cohomological support loci for Abel-Prym curves. *Matematiche (Catania)*, 63(1):205–222, 2008.
- [DCP95] Corrado De Concini and Piotr Pragacz. On the class of Brill-Noether loci for Prym varieties. *Math. Ann.*, 302(4):687–697, 1995.
- [Deb88] Olivier Debarre. Sur les variétés abéliennes dont le diviseur theta est singulier en codimension 3. *Duke Math. J.*, 57(1):221–273, 1988.
- [Deb95] Olivier Debarre. Minimal cohomology classes and Jacobians. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 4(2):321–335, 1995.
- [Deb00] Olivier Debarre. Théorèmes de Lefschetz pour les lieux de dégénérescence. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 128(2):283–308, 2000.
- [Don81] Ron Donagi. The tetragonal construction. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 4(2):181–185, 1981.
- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.
- [Hör07] Andreas Höring. M -regularity of the Fano surface. *C. R., Math., Acad. Sci. Paris*, 344(11):691–696, 2007.
- [Hör10] Andreas Höring. Minimal classes on the intermediate Jacobian of a generic cubic threefold. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 12(1):55–70, 2010.
- [IP01] E. Izadi and C. Pauly. Some properties of second order theta functions on Prym varieties. *Math. Nachr.*, 230:73–91, 2001.
- [LN10] Martí Lahoz and Juan Carlos Naranjo. Theta-duality on Prym varieties and a Torelli theorem. *arXiv preprint*, 1010.0398, 2010.
- [Mum71] David Mumford. Theta characteristics of an algebraic curve. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 4:181–192, 1971.
- [Mum74] David Mumford. Prym varieties. I. In *Contributions to analysis (a collection of papers dedicated to Lipman Bers)*, pages 325–350. Academic Press, New York, 1974.
- [Nar92] Juan-Carlos Naranjo. Prym varieties of bi-elliptic curves. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 424:47–106, 1992.
- [PP08a] Giuseppe Pareschi and Mihnea Popa. Generic vanishing and minimal cohomology classes on abelian varieties. *Math. Ann.*, 340(1):209–222, 2008.
- [PP08b] Giuseppe Pareschi and Mihnea Popa. M -regularity and the Fourier-Mukai transform. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.*, 4(3, part 2):587–611, 2008.
- [Ran80] Ziv Ran. On subvarieties of Abelian varieties. *Invent. Math.*, 62:459–479, 1980.
- [Rec74] Sevin Recillas. Jacobians of curves with g_4^1 's are the Prym's of trigonal curves. *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana (2)*, 19(1):9–13, 1974.
- [Sho82] V. V. Shokurov. Distinguishing Prymians from Jacobians. *Invent. Math.*, 65(2):209–219, 1981/82.
- [Wel81] Gerald E. Welters. *Abel-Jacobi isogenies for certain types of Fano threefolds*, volume 141 of *Mathematical Centre Tracts*. Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, 1981.
- [Wel85] Gerald E. Welters. A theorem of Gieseker-Petri type for Prym varieties. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 18(4):671–683, 1985.

ANDREAS HÖRING, UNIVERSITÉ PIERRE ET MARIE CURIE AND ALBERT-LUDWIG UNIVERSITÄT FREIBURG

Current address: Mathematisches Institut, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Eckerstraße 1, 79104 Freiburg im Breisgau, Germany

E-mail address: hoering@math.jussieu.fr